## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA

Furman Jones, Jr.,	)	C/A No. 2:13-cv-1340 DCN
Petitioner,	)	
VS.	)	ORDER
Warden Kenny Atkinson,	)	
Defendant.	)	

The above referenced case is before this court upon the magistrate judge's recommendation that the court recharacterize this § 2241 petition as a § 2255 motion, and that the motion be filed in petitioner's criminal case, *United States v. Jones*, C/R No. 8:12-cr-00024-HMH-3 (D.S.C.) for all further proceedings.

This court is charged with conducting a <u>de novo</u> review of any portion of the magistrate judge's report to which a specific objection is registered, and may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendations contained in that report. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). However, absent prompt objection by a dissatisfied party, it appears that Congress did not intend for the district court to review the factual and legal conclusions of the magistrate judge. <u>Thomas v Arn</u>, 474 U.S. 140 (1985). Additionally, any party who fails to file timely, written objections to the magistrate judge's report pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) waives the right to raise those objections at the appellate court level. <u>United States v. Schronce</u>, 727 F.2d 91 (4th Cir. 1984), cert. denied, 467 U.S. 1208 (1984). Petitioner timely filed his reply, stating his agreement

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>In <u>Wright v. Collins</u>, 766 F.2d 841 (4th Cir. 1985), the court held "that a <u>pro se</u> litigant must receive fair notification of the <u>consequences</u> of failure to object to a magistrate judge's report before such a procedural default will result in waiver of the right to appeal. The notice must be 'sufficiently understandable to one in appellant's circumstances fairly to appraise him of what is required." Id. at 846. Plaintiff was advised in a clear manner that his objections

2:13-cv-01340-DCN Date Filed 07/09/13 Entry Number 15 Page 2 of 2

## with the Report and Recommendation on June 26, 2013.

A <u>de novo</u> review of the record indicates that the magistrate judge's report accurately summarizes this case and the applicable law. Accordingly, the magistrate judge's Report and Recommendation is **AFFIRMED**, and the Clerk of Court is directed to re-file petitioner's §2241 petition as a § 2255 motion in petitioner's criminal case, *United States v. Jones*, C/R No. 8:12-cr-00024-HMH-3 (D.S.C.) for all further proceedings.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the instant case is DISMISSED.

AND IT IS SO ORDERED.

David C. Norton

United States District Judge

July 9, 2013 Charleston, South Carolina

## NOTICE OF RIGHT TO APPEAL

The parties are hereby notified that any right to appeal this Order is governed by Rules 3 and 4 of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure